Damaged Goods: Impact of Damage-rheology Coupling on Ice Sheet Evolution

Dan Martin Applied Numerical Algorithms Group Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory CESM Land Ice Working Group Meeting, June 12, 2024

Joint work with:

- Sam Kachuck, Jeremy Bassis (University of Michigan)
- Duncan Carpenter, Anjali Sandip (University of North Dakota)



Real ice is messy...

- Models tend to assume "ideal" ice
- But it's also brittle, and that leads to crevasses, fractures, etc.
- Can see that, well, pretty much everywhere...
- How does this impact ice dynamics?





"non-ideal" ice in Ice Sheet modeling

- Most ice sheet models are built upon Glen's flow law (shear-thinning rheology)
- However, when we try to match observed velocity fields, we have to adopt some sort of viscosity multiplier, particularly in ice shelves
 - normally looks like regions of reduced ice viscosity
 - Can see "damaged" ice (crevasses, etc)
 - Inversions are great, for right now...





Can we model damage in a large-scale model?

- Following Bassis and Ma (2015), start with the dynamics of a crevasse...
 - Crevasses are transported with the ice flow
 - Ice in *tension*: crevasses tend to increase
 - Ice in *compression*: crevasses heal
- Damage: measure of average crevasse penetration
 - d=0: No crevasse
 - d=1: fully penetrate the ice (leads to calving)
 - (long wavelength limit for perturbations)
- **Goal** couple with viscosity and evolve



Damage evolution

• Advection with a source term:

$$\frac{\partial d}{\partial t} = \vec{u} \cdot \nabla d + S(\vec{u}, T, t, ??)$$

- Source term:
 - Based on local stress
 - Includes surface mass balance
 - Nye zero-stress minimum crevasse depth



Ice Sheet Models (BISICLES)



- Finite volume, vertically integrated (shallow ice-ish: L1L2 approximation)
- Solves for velocity given nonlinear constitutive relation
- Adaptive Mesh Refinement
 <1 km resolution needed for
 Grounding Line
- Good for regional and continental scales



Experiment 1: Evolve damage (no coupling)

- Start with Nye values as initial condition
- Evolve to steady state
- Can use to predict calving fronts...
- Can validate against "observed" damage
 - (viscosity multipliers)
- (Kachuck, et al, 2022)



Fully-Damaged Terminus at Drygalski Ice Tongue

amage



- One-dimensional problem, treat ice as broken at damage=1
- Captures length and thickness

Kachuck, et al. (Journal of Glaciology, 2022)



Damage in the Amundsen Sea



- Viscosity multiplier not used
- High damage arises around shear margins where slow ice contacts faster ice

What about coupling?

• Need a coupling function $\phi(d)$ such that $\mu_{effective} = \phi(d)\mu_{Glen}$

- Experimented with various forms:
 - Linear
 - Hyperbolic tangent
 - Is there a floor? ($\phi(1) > 0$)
- What about calving criteria? ($d > (1 \epsilon)$





Replicate the Viscosity Multiplier with Damage





Vertical offset implies an effective temperature about 3 °C colder than input

Small amounts of damage (<0.3) have little effect on bulk rheology

4 parameters instead of ~millions+regularization



Test case 2: Coupling with MISMIP+ example



• MISMIP+

- Marine ice sheet in a trough
- Designed to test buttressing
 - maintain GL on retrograde slope
- Spin-up to steady-state, then apply basal melt forcing
 - Retreat phase followed by re-advance
- Warning: not for the (solver) faint of heart...



Impact of damage coupling









• What does damage really represent? (moving from crevasse to some sort of integrated quantity)

• Still sorting out coupling details



Summing up...

- Lots of progress on incorporating ice damage into models
- Still sorting out a bunch of details
 - Still working on best coupling function

• Note: Damage reduces buttressing!



Acknowledgements:

- US Department of Energy Office of Science (ASCR/BER) SciDAC applications program (PISCEES, ProSPecT)
- NERSC



Thank you!





Extras

