

Land Ice Modeling in CESM

Annual CESM Tutorial

Bill Lipscomb and the CESM Land Ice Working Group

08 AUGUST 2024

NCAR

This material is ba

Outline

- Background on land ice and sea level rise
- Ice sheets in CESM
- Recent and ongoing research

Thanks to Sarah Bradley, Heiko Goelzer, Gunter Leguy, Gustavo Marques, Samar Minallah, Bette Otto-Bliesner, Michele Petrini, Bill Sacks, Kate Thayer-Calder, Tim van den Akker, Mariana Vertenstein, Miren Vizcaíno, and other members of the CESM Land Ice Working Group





Causes of global sea level rise (SLR)



Credit: NASA GSFC/PO.DAAC

NCAR | UCAR |

Regional sea-level variations

Sea level rise varies regionally because of land subsidence, glacial rebound, ocean circulation changes and changes in ice sheet self-gravity.

• With weaker self-gravity, water moves away from shrinking ice sheets and piles up elsewhere.



Relative sea-level change from retreat of the Antarctic Ice Sheet (left) and Greenland Ice Sheet (right) (Mitrovica et al. 2011).



Change in sea surface height, 1993–2019, as measured by satellite altimetry. Credit: NASA.



Greenland Ice Sheet

- 7 m sea level equivalent (SLE)
- Snowfall balanced by surface runoff and iceberg calving
- Mass loss of 270 Gt/year since 2002



Greenland mass change from GRACE, 2002–2023

Antarctic Ice Sheet

- **58 m** sea level equivalent (**5 m** in West Antarctica)
- **Snowfall** balanced by calving and melting from **floating ice shelves**, with little surface melting
- Mass loss of 150 Gt/year since 2002



Antarctic mass change from GRACE, 2002–2023

Credit: NASA and JPL/Caltech



Mountain glaciers

- Glaciers outside the two ice sheets contain about **0.4 m** sea level equivalent.
- The volume is small compared to ice sheets, but the relative rate of loss is large: about **230 Gt/yr**, 2006–2018.
- Besides raising sea level, glacier melting can endanger water supplies and trigger outburst flooding.



Regional glacier volume (Farinotti et al. 2019)



Mer de Glace, French Alps Photo by Eduard Spelterini, 1909



Iceland with Vatnajokull ice cap



How glaciers move

- Glaciers flow downhill under the force of gravity.
- Ice deforms like a viscous fluid.
 Warmer ice is softer and flows faster.
- When there is water at the bed, glaciers can slide at speeds up to several km/year.



- Slowly deforming ice that is frozen at the bed is described by the **shallow ice approximation**.
- Ice that is sliding with little vertical shear is described by the shallow shelf approximation.
- General ice flow is described by the Stokes equations or higher-order approximations.



How ice sheets gain and lose mass





Carbon dioxide, temperature, and sea level

- Sea level is closely linked to global average temperature and CO₂ concentration.
- In past climates, temperature co-evolved with CO₂. Now CO₂ is the main driver.
- Ice sheets tend to **build up slowly** and **melt quickly**.





Ice sheets in warm climates

Last Interglacial (125,000 years ago)

- Warming **1-2°C**, CO₂ = **280 ppm**
- Global sea level 6-9 m higher than now
- About 2–4 m from Greenland, > 2 m from Antarctica



Modeled Greenland ice thickness for the Last Interglacial (Otto-Bliesner et al. 2006) Pliocene (3 million years ago)

- Warming **2-3°C**, CO₂ = **400 ppm**
- Global sea level **5–20 m higher** than now
- Up to 7 m from Greenland, 5 m from West Antarctica, and possibly retreat from East Antarctica



Pliocene ice sheet reconstructions (Haywood et al. 2010)



Antarctic ice sheet instability

• Much of the Antarctic ice sheet is grounded below sea level

UCAR

- This ice is vulnerable to intrusions of warm Circumpolar Deep Water, especially in the Amundsen Sea region (Thwaites and Pine Island Glaciers).
- Ice sheets on reverse-sloping sea beds may be subject to the Marine Ice Sheet Instability.



IPCC AR6 sea level projections

8/31/2021

In-depth Q&A: The IPCC's sixth assessment report on climate science | Carbon

Chapter 9: Ocean, cryosphere, and sea level

- "Both the Greenland Ice Sheet (virtually certain) and the Antarctic Ice Sheet (likely) will continue to lose mass throughout this century under all considered SSP scenarios."
- "These *likely* range projections do not include those ice-sheet-related processes that are characterized by **deep uncertainty**", including marine ice cliff instability and sub-ice-shelf melting



AR6: Likely SLR by 2100

- **28 to 55 cm** for low emissions (ssp1-19)
- 63 to 102 cm for high emissions (ssp5-85)



Ice sheets in the Community Earth System Model (CESM)

CESM1 (2010+) was one of the first complex ESMs to include ice sheets.

 Dynamic ice sheets break the assumption of fixed boundaries between land, atmosphere and ocean.

Simplifying assumptions in CESM1:

- Shallow-ice dynamics (not accurate for ice streams and shelves), Greenland only
- **One-way coupling**: Ice sheet changes do not affect other model components

Division of labor:

- The Community Land Model (CLM) computes the surface mass balance (snowfall and surface melting) for ice sheets, using subgrid elevation tiles to make up for coarse resolution (~50– 100 km).
- The coupler remaps the surface mass balance to a finer ice sheet grid (~5 km).
- The Community Ice Sheet Model (CISM) computes ice flow.



Ice sheets in CESM2



CESM2 (2018+) supports **interactive coupling** between the **Greenland Ice Sheet** and the land and atmosphere.

- By default, ice sheets are fixed.
- Optionally, ice sheets and the land surface can coevolve with **two-way coupling**.
 - The land model computes the surface mass balance and passes it to CISM.
 - CISM returns the new ice sheet area and elevation.
 - Land types are dynamic (glacier \Leftrightarrow vegetated).

CESM2 also includes **improved physics for snow and firn** (the transitional layer between snow and ice).



Ice sheet coupling in CESM2



Ice sheet dynamics in CISM2

- CISM includes a hierarchy of velocity solvers, including higher-order solvers valid over most or all of the ice sheet.
- CISM also solves equations for conservation of mass and internal energy.
- The model includes parameterizations of physical processes such as **iceberg calving, basal sliding**, and **grounding- line migration**.

NCAR UCAR





Ice Sheet Model Intercomparison Project



Greenland (Goelzer et al. 2020)

 SLR by 2100: 90 ± 50 mm (RCP 8.5), mainly from increased surface melting. Good agreement across models.

Antarctica (Seroussi et al., 2020)

- WAIS: Mass loss up to 180 mm SLE by 2100
- EAIS: Mass change of -61 to 83 mm SLE
- Large uncertainties in snowfall, ice-shelf melting



Greenland ensemble mean sea-level projections



Antarctic regional sea-level contributions (mm SLE) from multiple ice sheet models under RCP 8.5 forcing



Greenland surface mass balance in CESM2

- The Greenland surface mass balance in CESM2 compares well with regional Arctic models that are run at ~5x higher resolution (~10–20 km).
- However, there is too much snowfall in the interior of southern Greenland, mainly because of coarse topography.



Courtesy of Leo van Kampenhout.

Greenland surface mass balance (mm/yr). *Left:* RACMO regional model. *Right:* CESM2. **Blue = accumulation, red = ablation**.



Coupled Greenland ice sheet evolution in CESM-CISM

First published ISMIP6 runs with an interactive Greenland ice sheet:

- Global CO₂ rises to ~1100 ppm, global surface air temperature increases by 5.4°C.
- The Greenland ice sheet contributes SLR of **110 mm by 2100**, with greatly increased melting.

NCAR UCAR

Contemporary Mid-century End-of-century (1995-2014)(2031 - 2050)(2081 - 2100)anomaly anomaly 10000 5000 5000 2000 2000 1000 1000 500 200 500 100 200 SMB [mmWE / yr] -50 -200 -100 -500 -200 -500 -1000 -1000 -2000 -2000 -5000 -5000 -1000

Increased melting of the **Greenland Ice Sheet** in GESM2 (Muntjewerf et al., 2020) under the ssp5-85 warming scenario. The expanding melt region is **blue**.



Coupled Greenland simulations of the Last Interglacial

CESM-CISM simulations of the Last Interglacial with an interactive Greenland ice sheet

- The Greenland Ice Sheet shrinks from 8.3 m SLE at 127 ka to 4.2 m SLE at 122 ka, then slowly recovers.
- Interactive vegetation warms the climate and enhances the retreat.

NCAR UCAR







Thresholds for Greenland deglaciation

(1) > 80% (SMB > 317±97 Gt/yr), (2) ~ 50% (SMB 286±94 - 255±83 Gt/yr), (3) < 20% (SMB < 230±84 Gt/yr)



Petrini et al. (2024)

- CISM Greenland runs forced by CESM output suggest a deglaciation threshold at warming of ~3.4°C.
- Most of the ice sheet is lost after unpinning from topography in west Greenland.



Land ice goals for CISM3 and CESM3

CISM3

- Improve parameterizations of subglacial hydrology, basal sliding, and iceberg calving in CISM.
- Improve ice sheet initialization methods.
- Use CISM to simulate **mountain glaciers.**

CESM3

- Minimize biases in the Greenland surface mass balance.
- Support fully coupled climate ice sheet simulations with
 Antarctica and paleo ice sheets.

Subglacial hydrology

CISM now includes an efficient subglacial hydrology scheme.



Basal water flux for Antarctica in a steady-state subglacial water model

For simulations of the North American Ice Sheet complex during the Last Glacial Maximum (21 ka), ice streams are in good agreement with the paleoclimate record, as a result of subglacial hydrology (Arctic margin), steep bed topography (Pacific margin), and weak basal till (southern margin). (Courtesy of Sarah Bradley)



Iceberg calving





Ice sheet initialization

A new spin-up technique optimizes the match to both observed thickness and observations of **recent thickness change**.

Simulations suggest that the Pine Island and **Thwaites basins will likely collapse** over the next several centuries even without further warming.



Observed rate of ice thickness change (m/yr), 2003–2019 (Smith et al. 2020)

Wilkes

Simulated thickness change (m) over 1000 years after a spin-up with observed thinning rates.



(2024, in review)

Mountain glaciers

CISM can now be run as a regional glacier model. For the **<u>GlacierMIP3</u>** project, we simulated ~4000 glaciers in the European Alps at 100-m resolution.



UCAR

- CISM was the first 3D ice-flow model to participate in GlacierMIP.
- In an optimistic scenario with no further warming, we simulate volume loss of 63% for the Alps (relative to the 1980s) mostly in the first 100 years.

Greenland surface mass balance in CESM3





CESM3–CISM

r Net SMB = **348 Gt/yr**

- An early version of CESM3 does well at reproducing Greenland's net SMB and spatial patterns of accumulation and melting.
 - As in CESM2, there is too much accumulation in the southern interior.
- Ablation is high along the west coast.



Multiple, fully-coupled ice sheets

- We have added support for running Antarctica out-of-the box and for running multiple ice sheets in a single simulation.
- We have implemented interactive coupling between CISM and the MOM6 ocean model, which allows circulation in ice sheet cavities.





Sub-ice-shelf melt rate (m/yr) for an idealized experiment with CISM coupled to MOM6 (G. Marques).



Future CISM development

- Glacier projections in other regions (High Mountain Asia, Patagonia, Svalbard)
- Ice shelf cavity circulation module
- Solid Earth and sea level model (with ice sheet self-gravity)



Above: Bed topography in the Nepal Himalaya. Right: Patagonian ice fields.





Left: Schematic of sub-ice shelf circulation. Above: Schematic mass distribution in a sea-level model. Right: Finite-element grid for a global solid Earth model.





Subglacial bed elevation (m) Fürst et al. (2024)



Summary

- CESM2 and CISM2 included scientific and software advances enabling interactive simulations with the Greenland ice sheet.
- CESM3 and CISM3 will support first-of-a-kind simulations of the Antarctic ice sheet, paleo ice sheets, and mountain glaciers.
- Coupling of ice sheets to the land and atmosphere is fairly mature, but ocean-ice sheet coupling is just getting started.
- Antarctic ice loss remains the largest uncertainty for sea-level rise.





Contact information

Land Ice Working Group website:

https://www.cesm.ucar.edu/working_groups/Land+Ice/

Co-chairs:

- Gunter Leguy, NCAR, gunterl@ucar.edu
- Miren Vizcaino, TU Delft, <u>M.Vizcaino@tudelft.nl</u>

Liaisons:

- Gunter Leguy, NCAR, gunterl@ucar.edu
- Kate Thayer-Calder, NCAR, <u>katec@ucar.edu</u>

Lead CISM developer:

• Bill Lipscomb, NCAR, lipscomb@ucar.edu

Please join us for our winter and summer meetings in 2025.

