### CAM MT/WACCM comparison

Martina Bramberger, Julio Bacmeister, Rolando Garcia, Nick Petadella, Ilaria Quaglia, Mijeong Park, Yaga Richter, Isla Simpson, Daniele Visioni

### Background

- Before CESM3, CAM and WACCM were clearly distinct regarding the stratosphere
- New CAM development with model top at 80km,
- Now CAM and WACCM overlap in the stratosphere, but have different vertical grids





#### Vertical levels – L135 vs L93 grid



#### Setup – Overview

L135 and L93 vertical grids are very different, therefore we need to disentangle the effect of vertical resolution and model top.

Simulations span 18.5 years

- 1. L93: Mid-top CAM with 93 vertical levels
- 2. L120: Mid-top CAM with 120 vertical levels, corresponding to the current WACCM L135 vertical grid.
- 3. L135: WACCM with 135 vertical levels

No specific tuning was performed for any of the model runs

#### Impact on QBO



Notes: all runs used eff\_gw\_beres = 0.4, no specific tuning has been done on these runs

#### **QBO Period very similar between the different** runs

#### mnact on ODO

- Periods are very similar in the runs
- Influence of model mostly on the QBO amplitudes

#### Impact on Tape Recorder



• CAM MT (L93 and L120) are both drier compared to observations

• WACCM (L135) better reproduces Tape recorder

#### Impact on Polar Vortex - DJF



 Increased vertical resolution leads to stronger winds in the stratopause region

• Higher model top brings wind speeds down in the stratopause region

#### Impact on Polar Vortex - JJA



As in DJF:

 Increased vertical resolution leads to stronger winds in the stratopause region

 Higher model top brings wind speeds down in the stratopause region

#### Impact on Polar Vortex – L120/L135



- Largest differences in the winter hemispheres between 50N/S and 80N/S
- Differences increase with altitude especially in the upper stratosphere
- RMSE of differences peak at about 50km (core of polar vortex)

#### Impact on Polar Vortex – L120-L135



- L135 and L120 runs have similar RMS to ERA5, L135 bias is smaller in NH and above 50km
- Both models have largest biases compared to ERA5 between 50km and 60km
- · Biases in the SH almost double compared NH

#### Impact on Seasonal Cycle, 60N 10hPa



Similar seasonality throughout the difference model runs
All model runs compare similarly to ERA5

#### Impact on SSW frequency and



- No systematic difference between the different model runs
- For longer runs, different model versions have similar SSW frequency

## Tendency of eastward wind due to E-P flux divergenc - DJF



## Tendency of eastward wind due to E-P flux divergenc - JJA



 For both DJF and JJA differences are most pronounced above 1hPa

### Tendency of eastward wind due to E-P flux divergenc at equator



 Utendency between 70hPa and 10hPa is similar between different model versions

### Tendency of eastward wind due to E-P flux divergence at equator





- Utendency at 30hPa and 10hPa is similar between different model versions
- No systematic differences based on vertical grid or model top
- At 10hPa slightly higher utend in L120 which might explain larger wind speeds in this run

#### Conclusions

We compared different stratospheric circulation patterns for CAM MT and WACCM

- Based on current model runs no systematic difference was found below the stratopause region
- Analysis suggests that CAM MT can be used for stratospheric analyses up to the stratopause region
- Starting at stratopause and above WACCM has smaller differences compared to ERA5 in the polar vortex

#### Further steps

 Study impact of model top on representation of elevated stratopause after SSWs

 More statistical analysis (bootstrapping and t-tests) for differences between model versions

• Analyze the cause of differences in the tape recorder

# Thank you for your attention

Mountain Waves above Lake Como