Sources of the Arctic Atlantic Water Biases in CESM2

Who M. Kim * NSF National Center for Atmospheric Research

Gokhan Danabasoglu^{*}, Young-Oh Kwon (WHOI), Steve Yeager^{*}, Claude Frankignoul (LOCEAN/IPSL), & Dylan Oldenburg^{*}



OMWG Winter Meeting 2025

February 27, 2025

Arctic Atlantic Water (AW)



AW inflow to the Arctic Ocean

- Fram Strait branch (~2 Sv): relatively warmer and saltier
- Barents Sea branch (~2 Sv): relatively colder and fresher due to heat loss and mixing, entering the Arctic Ocean mainly through the St. Anna Trough
- Flows cyclonically along the continental slope
- AW in the interior Arctic Ocean: 0 > 0°C



Atlantic Water Biases



(2023)



- Too deep and thick ٠
- Too cold around the observed AW • core and too warm below
- Salinity: a fresh bias in the AW layer ٠
- Short-term vs. long-term biases •



Potential Sources of the Biases



Polyakov et al. (2011)

- Biased AW inflow from the GIN Seas
- Incorrect water mass transformation, due to surface fluxes and/or mixing, over the shelf of Barents and Kara Seas and/or within the troughs and channels
- Inaccurate or misrepresented horizontal and vertical mixing processes along the slope and in the interior
- No study systematically examining the bias sources using a single model

Model and Experiments

Forced ocean-sea-ice simulations

- Both low- (LR) and high-resolution (HR) configurations of CESM2
- Sensitivity experiments (L-*Exp_Name*)
 - 1) Interior T&S restoring experiments
 - 2) Mixing parameter experiments
 - 3) Combinations of (1) and (2)
- Selected experiments repeated using HR (H-Exp_Name)
- 2003-2004 Repeat Year Forcing (RYF), starting from WOA13 ICs
- Similar biases appear whether IAF or different RYFs are used

Fully Coupled simulations

- Standard, LR configuration of CESM2
- New pi-control, starting from WOA13 ICs
- Selected experiments repeated (C-Exp_Name)
- □ All simulations run for 30 years
- Last 5-year averages used to examine biases, focusing on the temperature and circulation in the Eurasian Basin (EB)

AW Biases in the Control Simulations



- AW core found at deeper depths
 - ~450-500 m vs. 250 m in WOA13
 - Deepest in H-CTL
- AW layer thicker
 - ~800-900 m vs. 700 m in WOA13
 - Thickest in H-CTL
- Colder at the observed core depth and warmer below
- Max temperature is coldest in L-CTL (<0.5°C vs. 1.2 °C in WOA13)

Biases initially developing quickly along the slope



Bias at year

OMWG202

0 0.6

Restoring Experiments (L-GIN)





- Inflow from the SAT is cold-biased in both L-CTL and L-GIN
- Too weak circulation in the eastern EB





- L-BKS
- Getting right over the shelf is not enough



(a) ORAS5



(b) L-CTL





Stronger cyclonic circulation in the eastern EB and along ٠ the Lomonosov Ridge with a stronger recirculation interior

2

3

4

5

Suggesting the importance of the inflow from the troughs ٠ in simulating the the properties and circulation of the AW



84°N 80°N Temp Bias at 300 76° (a) L-CTR (b) L-CTL 84°N 80°N 76° (e) L-ESL (f) L-ESL 84°N 80°N 76°N 0° 30°E 60°E 90°E 120°E

2 3

4 5

[cm s⁻¹]

6

7

8

9 10

0 1

(a) ORAS5

-2.4 -1.8 -1.2 -0.6

0

[°C]

0.6 1.2 1.8 2.4

Mixing Experiments (L-WVM)

L-WV $\kappa_{v_{bg}} = 1.4 \times 10^{-5}$ $\rightarrow 0.1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^2$ s⁻¹
in the Arctic





(a) ORAS5

(b) L-CTL



(g) L-WVM



Mixing Experiments (L-SGM)

L-SG M $\kappa_{GM_isop,thic}$ scaled by area/max(area), suggested by Hunk et al. (2008) \rightarrow smaller $\kappa_{GM_isop,thic}$ in the Arctic



• Stronger cyclonic circulation in the western EB; still weak in eastern EB

(a) ORAS5

90°E

7

120°E

More heat (warm-biased) entering through FS; cold-biased inflow from the SAT





HR Experiments (H-TRF)

<u>H-TR</u> F





Similar improvement as in L-TRF – shallower, thinner, ٠ warmer AW with a stronger cyclonic circulation in the eastern EB

OMWG202

-2.4 -1.8 -1.2 -0.6

0

[°C]

Coupled Experiments



15/17

Summary

- 1) Important role of the inflow from the troughs and channels in setting up the hydrographic properties and circulation of the AW
 - The AW biases are substantially reduced (warmer, shallower, and thinner)
 - The cyclonic circulation becomes substantially stronger in the eastern EB, which feeds recirculation in the interior
 - Calls for the development of an overflow parametrization (even in HR)
- 2) Tunning of mixing parameters (more relevant to LR)
 - Scaling GM diffusivity coefficients to grid area (i.e., weaker mixing in the Arctic) substantially strengthens the circulation in the western EB
 - Flow pattern becomes less organized
 - Biases in the GIN Seas become more matter (too warm in the deep ocean)

Is MOM better than POP?















[°C]





















(d) H-CTL







C-WVM+SGM



Fig. 11. Hovmoller diagram of T bias in EB and spatial map of T bias at 300 m from coupled experiments

Blah •

Tentative Conclusions:

- Both lateral mixing and waters entering the Arctic Ocean through channels (esp., St. Anna Trough) appear to be significant sources of the AWL temperature layer bias
- TRF is most effective in reducing both cold and warm biases at the observed AW core and bottom, respectively.
- TRF also enhance the cyclonic circulation substantially
- SGM also reduce the cold bias at the AW core and enhance the cyclonic circulation substantially
- However, SGM makes the warm bias worse at depths
- Need to optimize lateral mixing
- Need to improve water properties that exit the troughs (overflow parameterization?)



